

REMARKS

As for the rejection on page 2 of the Office Action, a terminal disclaimer has been filed.

As for the rejection on page 4 of claim 26 because of “the slot angle”, the claim has been amended.

As for the rejection on page 4 of claim 45 because of “the return funnels”, the claim has been amended.

As for the objection on page 4 to the specification, a new paragraph has been inserted. It does not add new matter because it merely presents material found in claims 13, 16, and 19 in the application as filed.

As for the objection on page 4 to the drawing, the flexible bracket (springy section 93) was shown in Fig. 20 in the application as filed. Thus there is no need for any new drawing.

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 13-22 and 27-30.

The undersigned further thanks the Examiner for the admission that claims 26 and 44-46 are allowable if rewritten in independent form. These claims have been rewritten accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Carl Oppedahl
PTO Reg. No. 32746